



# Annex 1: 2017

## What we know and what we can guess

Presented by Ashley Isbel,  
July 2017

# National GMP & Validation Forum

Hosted by PharmOut

# This Session

A quick background on the update

Sources of this information

The headlines

The fine print

Annex 1 and the TGA

# Annex 1 – The Concept Paper

## The story to date:

- History of change – 1996, 2003, 2005, 2007 & 2009
- Proposal to review in 2012 and then again 2014
- Concept paper – in 2015 Need for change listed in concept paper include:
  - Introduction of the principles of QRM
  - Need to keep up with new technology
  - Omissions – single use closed systems, disposable systems etc.

# The Process

Following a *problem statement* a *concept paper* was presented to EMA & PICs and public consultation was held in February 2015 (deadline for *comments* was March 2015).

An inspectors working group was formed to take account of industry and regulatory concerns and to assess requirements for *revision*.

# The Process

Joint EU GMP IWP and PIC/S working party established to make changes, including:

- EU – UK(lead), Poland, Germany, Ireland & France
- Rest of World – Australia, USA, Singapore, Canada & Japan

Guide broken up and put to various sub groups who worked on the different sections under lead rapporteur guidance (Andrew Hopkins Expert Inspector MHRA).

# The Process

This joint working group has convened regularly over the last 2 years. And significant and robust debate has occurred on a number of issues

The final text has been *adopted by the IWG* and passed to the EC where legal aspects of the changes are being checked and the final document will be *published* with an implementation time period.

# So, after 2.5 years, what do we know?

## Frustratingly very little

- Some new sections
- Indications of some specific additions
- Indications of some specific clarifications
- Alignment with other standards and GMP guidance
  - ISO 14644
  - Ch. 1, 3 & 5 and Annex 15
- This is a major rewrite ... the devil may yet be in the detail

# Sources

Andrew Hopkins presentations

TGA presentations (e.g. last week's PDA dinner)

PDA conferences in Germany and USA

- Presentations
- 'Off the record' discussions with attendees and members of PDA working groups

PharmOut connections with EU regulators (AH again)

Online sources

PDA & ISPE publications



# Annex 1 Proposed Structure

- |          |                                     |           |  |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| <b>1</b> | Scope                               | <b>6</b>  | Equipment  |
| <b>2</b> | Principle                           | <b>7</b>  | Utilities  |
| <b>3</b> | Pharmaceutical Quality System (PQS) | <b>8</b>  | Production & specific technologies                     |
| <b>4</b> | Personnel                           | <b>9</b>  | Non-viable & viable process & environmental monitoring |
| <b>5</b> | Premises                            | <b>10</b> | Quality control  |

Apparently the number of clauses has more than doubled

# Headlines - Scope

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Will acknowledge the benefit of the guidance for non-sterile production where microbial/particulate control is important	Nothing - new section	<b>High</b>

# Headlines - Principle

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
You must document a site/facility contamination control strategy!	Successful sterile manufacture depends on good people and effective QA	<b>High</b>

# Headlines - PQS

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
No known show-stoppers! But check the fine print ...	New section	<b>Medium</b>

# Headlines - Personnel

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
<p>Gowning requirements updated for A/B</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No facial skin exposed – masks/goggles to completely cover skin</li><li>• Sterile footwear – disinfection and re-use not allowed</li></ul>	Section 43	<b>High</b>

# Headlines - Premises

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
HEPA filtration required for all classified areas?	Section 1 – filters of appropriate efficiency	Medium
Stronger wording for separate entry and exit change locks?	Section 51 – sometimes desirable	Low
QRM to determine isolator background – D is a minimum standard based on very low risks	Section 23 – inferred that D is appropriate background	Medium
Qualification of cleanrooms should include microbiological aspects	Silent	Medium

# Headlines - Equipment

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
No known show-stoppers! But check the fine print ...	Nothing to compare	<b>Medium</b>

# Headlines - Utilities

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Data trending from critical utilities - (pure steam? WFI? PW? Compressed gases?)	New section	Medium
Significant guidance on WFI, including information on generation methods and lifecycle requirements	Section 59 – WFI distribution should prevent micro growth	High



# Headlines – Production & Specific Tech

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Significantly more detail around aseptic practice	Section 31-35	Medium
Visual inspection – visual defects to be trended by type. Visual inspection in general far more prescriptive	Nothing equivalent	Medium
PUPSIT largely unchanged, possibly with some concession for smaller manufacturers	Section 113	High

# Headlines – Environmental Monitoring

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
5 micron particles <b><i>not</i></b> required for classification (for all classifications)	Section 4 – requires 5 micron for all zones	High
5 micron particles required for monitoring (all classifications)	Expected for all zones (singled out for A/B as important)	High
Organisms detected in Grade A and B to require identification. Recommended for lower grades	Silent (PI032 requires identification of Grade A isolates)	Low
Expectations around frequency of monitoring (especially particulate) for Grade C & D likely to result in increased monitoring events	Section 15 – risked based frequency, more than classification	Low
No more averaging statement for microbiological sample	You can average samples	High

# Headlines – Quality Control

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Extensive information on sterility test requirements	Section 125 & 127	High

# Fine Print - Scope

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Apart from the headline, this section has not been the subject of public discussions.	Nothing – Scope is not defined	<b>Low</b>

# Fine Print - Principle

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
QRM should be embedded in decision making.	Successful sterile manufacture depends on good people and effective QA	High
More emphasis on good facilities		High
More detail on what constitutes good people		High

# Fine Print - PQS

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Will detail aspects of a PQS which require specific attention and/or attributes for sterile manufacturing	New section	<b>Medium</b>

# Fine Print – Personnel

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Significant increase in focus regarding operator gowning practices, qualification and monitoring	Section 41 – very brief	High
Sterile garments required to be changed every working session	Section 44 – similar, but wording slightly ambiguous	High
Increased focus on gowning inspection – during gowning? During processing?	Sections 41-45 – no mention of inspection	Medium

# Fine Print - Premises

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Clarification for requirements for pass-throughs crossing two grades. CNC to C ✓ D to B?	Section 1 – is silent. Other clauses have been used historically	Medium
Passive pass-throughs not acceptable	Section 1 – is silent	Low
Qualification of cleanrooms according to Annex 15 mandated	Sections 4-7– discuss classification rather than qualification	High
Disinfectant effectiveness to be demonstrated for facility	No equivalent	High
Changes in the description of Grade A and B	Section 3	Medium
Classification of Grade A/B zones may require additional sampling above ISO based on risk	Section 5 – comply with ISO 14644	Medium



# Fine Print - Equipment

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Require PQS based detailed descriptions of key equipment	No equivalent	<b>Low</b>

# Fine Print - Utilities

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Bring focus on quality aspects for non-contact utilities (e.g. heating/cooling fluids)	Silent on non-contact utilities	<b>Medium</b>

# Fine Print – Production & Specific Tech

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
The use of technology – RABS/isolators, closed systems, automation encouraged to reduce contamination risks	Section 21	High
Aseptic operations subject to validated maximum time	No equivalent	Low
More detail on technology options, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BFS</li><li>• Single use systems</li><li>• Closed systems</li></ul>	Section 26 -27 covered BFS	High

# Fine Print – Environmental Monitoring

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Environmental monitoring program subject to formal regular review	No equivalent	Medium
Additional specific instructions around how to perform media fills	Section 66-71	Low
Requirements for EM media and testing detailed (growth promotion, stasis, etc)	No equivalent	Low
Change in Grade A cfu limit from <1 to 1	Section 19	High
Strong focus on data trending	Section 20	Low

# Fine Print – Quality Control

What we think the new version will say	What the current version says	Certainty
Will allow rapid micro as QC if validated	No equivalent	<b>Medium</b>

# Anything Else?



Until we get the document, we won't know for sure

If there really is 100+ more clauses, there's bound to be additional challenges

Expect that rapporteur information has given fair warning on big issues

Hopefully we can see a removal of clarity issues (but I'm not counting on it)

# The TGA and Annex 1

## The TGA Dilemma

Overdue adoption of new PE009. Late Annex 1.

- Do they adopt v13 now without Annex 1 (2017)
- If so, can they de facto adopt new Annex 1 through an interpretation, guidance or TGO?
- Will they push for an automatic adoption mechanism?
- If not, do they wait even longer for v14 (probably not until Q3 2018)



**ANY QUESTIONS?**

